

# Perspectives on Global Development and Technology

## Opportunities for renewable energy electrification in remote areas of Costa Rica

--Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>			
<b>Full Title:</b>	Opportunities for renewable energy electrification in remote areas of Costa Rica		
<b>Short Title:</b>			
<b>Article Type:</b>	Article		
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Gustavo Richmond-Navarro Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica Cartago, COSTA RICA		
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica		
<b>First Author:</b>	Gustavo Richmond-Navarro		
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Gustavo Richmond-Navarro Rolando Madriz-Vargas, PhD Noel Ureña-Sandí Fabian Barrientos-Johansson		
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>Countries around the world are politically driven to move toward a low-carbon future by embracing renewable energies technologies for electricity generation. With abundance of renewable energy resources, Costa Rica has produced over 95% of its electricity from hydro, geothermal and wind power plants. Only 1% of its population live without electricity, mainly in remote territories where rural off-grid electrification is very challenging. The purpose of this research is to understand the opportunities to reach universal electricity access in Costa Rica by using renewables.</p> <p>This paper highlights that a greater level of engagement is needed from local leaders develop efficient solutions. There are more opportunities to access funding schemes if projects are linked with the education sector. Hence, financial and technical support from external entities can be granted supporting the sustainability of the power systems and its expected socio-economic outcomes. This funding scheme can be replicated in other developing countries.</p>		
<b>Keywords:</b>	renewable energy; off-grid electrification; Costa Rica; developing countries		
<b>Funding Information:</b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica (Research project 1341-015)</td> <td>Mr Gustavo Richmond-Navarro</td> </tr> </table>	Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica (Research project 1341-015)	Mr Gustavo Richmond-Navarro
Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica (Research project 1341-015)	Mr Gustavo Richmond-Navarro		

## **Opportunities for renewable energy electrification in remote areas of Costa Rica**

### **Author Details**

Author 1 Name: Gustavo Richmond-Navarro  
**Corresponding author's Email:** grichmond@tec.ac.cr  
Department: Escuela de Ingeniería Electromecánica  
University/Institution: Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica  
City: Cartago  
Country: Costa Rica

Author 2 Name: Rolando Madriz-Vargas  
Department: Departamento de Física  
University/Institution: Universidad Nacional, Costa Rica  
City: Heredia  
Country: Costa Rica

Author 3 Name: Noel Ureña-Sandí  
Department: Escuela de Ingeniería Electromecánica  
University/Institution: Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica  
City: Cartago  
Country: Costa Rica

Author 4 Name: Fabian Barrientos Johansson  
Department: Escuela de Ingeniería Electromecánica  
University/Institution: Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica  
City: Cartago  
Country: Costa Rica

### **Acknowledgments (if applicable):**

This work was supported by research project 1341-015, sponsored by Vicerrectoría de Investigación y Extensión of the Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica. The work was also supported by the LIENE: Wind Energy Research Laboratory. Special thanks to students: Valeria Chavarria Castillo, Samuel Murillo Rodríguez y Marcelo Chaves Chacon.

### **Abstract:**

Countries around the world are politically driven to move toward a low-carbon future by embracing renewable energies technologies for electricity generation. With abundance of renewable energy resources, Costa Rica has produced over 95% of its electricity from hydro, geothermal and wind power plants. Only 1% of its population live without electricity, mainly in remote territories where rural off-grid electrification is very challenging. The purpose of this research is to understand the opportunities to reach universal electricity access in Costa Rica by using renewables.

This paper highlights that a greater level of engagement is needed from local leaders develop efficient solutions. There are more opportunities to access funding schemes if projects are linked with the education sector. Hence, financial and technical support from external entities can be granted supporting the sustainability of the power systems and its expected socio-economic outcomes. This funding scheme can be replicated in other developing countries.

**Keywords:** renewable energy, off-grid electrification, Costa Rica, developing countries.

# Opportunities for renewable energy electrification in remote areas of Costa Rica

## Abstract

Countries around the world are politically driven to move toward a low-carbon future by embracing renewable energies technologies for electricity generation. With abundance of renewable energy resources, Costa Rica has produced over 95% of its electricity from hydro, geothermal and wind power plants. Only 1% of its population live without electricity, mainly in remote territories where rural off-grid electrification is very challenging. The purpose of this research is to understand the opportunities to reach universal electricity access in Costa Rica by using renewables.

This paper highlights that a greater level of engagement is needed from local leaders develop efficient solutions. There are more opportunities to access funding schemes if projects are linked with the education sector. Hence, financial and technical support from external entities can be granted supporting the sustainability of the power systems and its expected socio-economic outcomes. This funding scheme can be replicated in other developing countries.

*Keywords:* renewable energy, off-grid electrification, Costa Rica, developing countries.

## 1. Introduction

Costa Rica, a Central American nation, has figured prominently in international headlines because its electricity matrix is mainly supplied by renewable sources. In 2016, according to the Costa Rican

1  
2  
3  
4 Electricity Institute (ICE), the electricity production was as follows: 74.35% hydroelectric, 12.74%  
5  
6 geothermal, 10.30% wind, 0.72% biomass, 0.01% solar and 1.88% fossil fuel power plants; the only non-  
7  
8 renewable source. (Presidencia de la República de Costa Rica, 2018).  
9

10  
11 A remarkable event that happened in 2017 is that, for 300 consecutive days, 100% of the national grid  
12  
13 was powered without using their fossil fuel power plants (Humayun, 2017).  
14  
15

16 Furthermore, the coverage of the electric grid reached 99.3% of the population in 2015 (Instituto  
17  
18 Costarricense de Electricidad, 2017), which means only 33,826 people living without electric power  
19  
20 (Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y Censos, 2015). Currently, many areas without access to electricity  
21  
22 are in remote regions, separated several days from the urban centers, making rural on-grid electrification  
23  
24 very challenging and sometimes virtually impossible, in particular, for indigenous communities, most of  
25  
26 them accessible only by foot.  
27  
28

29  
30 Considering the above, and with the objective of providing power to those communities, it becomes  
31  
32 necessary to build off-grid power systems. The renewable energy based off-grid systems, are among the  
33  
34 most feasible. However, financing research in isolated and remote areas in Costa Rica remains as one of  
35  
36 the main challenges for researchers, hindering opportunities to extract valuable data and finding suitable  
37  
38 solutions for energy provision to off-grid populations.  
39  
40

41  
42 Therefore, this study analyzes the financing sources available and the general national support scheme  
43  
44 in Costa Rica, for the development of research projects oriented to the electrification in remote  
45  
46 indigenous zones.  
47  
48

49  
50 In addition, some specific cases of projects implemented in remote areas, where the effect of the  
51  
52 community participation in the lifespan was relevant, are commented.  
53  
54  
55  
56

## 57 **2. Research grants from universities**

58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 The 85th article of Costa Rica's Political Constitution states the Government must provide funds for the  
5  
6 public universities of the country. For this purpose, the Special Fund for the Public Higher Education  
7  
8 (FEES) is created. A number of aspects are considered to distribute the funds among the universities.  
9  
10 Part of the funds given to each institution, are used to finance research projects in different areas.  
11  
12 This current year, the FEES funded a total of \$836 million (Castro, 2017) which represents a 1.43% of  
13  
14 the Gross Domestic Product (GDP), for the following year the FEES would be \$861 million (1.38% of  
15  
16 the nominal GDP, inflation is estimated to be 3% for 2018) (Universidad de Costa Rica, 2018). Also, one  
17  
18 of the main goals for the universities is to reach the 1.5% of the nominal GDP. There are five public  
19  
20 universities getting funds from the FEES, namely: University of Costa Rica, Costa Rican Institute of  
21  
22 Technology, National University, National University of Distance Education, the National Technical  
23  
24 University and other self-funded initiatives. All presenting a different approach on the research and  
25  
26 financing matter regarding community power projects and schemes.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

### 34 ***2.1. University of Costa Rica (UCR)***

35  
36  
37

38 This university, being the one with the highest student population among other reasons, receives the  
39  
40 highest share of the FEES. According with the Outreach and Information Office of the UCR, this Higher  
41  
42 Education center received \$450 million for 2018, from which \$54 million were allocated for research.  
43  
44 Amount distributed by the Research Vice-Rectorate among different projects (Castro, 2017). The UCR  
45  
46 also has a wide variety of calls for funds to finance research projects:  
47  
48  
49

- 50 • Advise Support Fund.
- 51
- 52 • Seed Fund.
- 53
- 54 • Grant Fund for Research Groups.
- 55
- 56 • Grant for Postdoctoral Research Projects at UCR.
- 57
- 58 • Special Research Stimuli Fund.
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 • Postgraduate Thesis Support Grant.
- 5
- 6 • Call for Advanced Studies Space (UCREA).
- 7
- 8 • Thematic Research Network Support Fund.
- 9
- 10
- 11 • University Agency for Entrepreneurship Management.
- 12
- 13
- 14 • Final Graduation Project Support Fund.
- 15

16 The development of research projects in the field of renewable energies can be funded from any of the  
17 mentioned sources. The Project, Program and Activities Management and Information System of the  
18 university, has data about the research funded partly with those sources e.g. in the field of wind power,  
19 a study on the aerodynamic behavior of a blade using Computational Fluid Dynamics is listed there  
20 (Universidad de Costa Rica, 2015).  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## 29 ***2.2. Costa Rican institute of Technology (TEC)***

30  
31  
32 This educational institution has a lower student population percent compared with the other universities  
33 from the system, therefore, it receives a smaller amount of money as part of the FEES. According to the  
34 SIESUE (Higher Public Education Information System), the TEC was granted \$90 million from the  
35 FEES (Consejo Nacional de Rectores, 2018). And besides those funds the TEC obtains other financing  
36 sources from the following laws: Law 7386, Specific Subvention; Law 6890, Cement Tax; Law 8020  
37 Technological Editorial. Considering all of those, as well as own incomes, the 2017 TEC's budget was  
38 for a total of \$137 million, from which \$11.2 million were destined for the Research and Extension  
39 program.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

52 The "Round of Projects" is an annual call for projects of up to 34 thousand dollars, with a four-year term,  
53 as a function of the type of project: local (inside the institution), nationally linked (participation of outside  
54 of the institution researchers) or internationally linked (participation of researchers from foreign  
55 universities and research centers (Tecnológico de Costa Rica, 2018).  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 **2.3. National University (UNA)**  
5  
6

7 This university has a larger student population, so after the UCR is the university with the largest share  
8 of the FEES. The SIESUE states the UNA received in 2017 an amount of \$183 million. Besides the  
9 FEES, this university receives some other income from Law 7386 Own Rents, Law 6890 Cement Tax,  
10 Law 8436 Fishing and Aquaculture, and income generated by the university itself, totaling an amount of  
11 \$268 million.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 UNA has a Research Vice-Rectory, dedicated to the development of projects. This university obtained  
20 \$51 million from the CONICIT (National Council for Scientific and Technological Research) in 2017,  
21 and it allocated \$44 million for academic projects approved by the institution.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 **2.4. National University of Distance Education (UNED)**  
29  
30

31 This higher education institution, due to its distance learning process and relatively low student  
32 population, was granted \$74 million of the FEES. Adding some other income granted by laws and  
33 generated by the university, its total budget for 2017 was \$93 million. That income was invested in seven  
34 programs defined by the university; program number six comprehended an amount of \$3 million for the  
35 development and management of research activities.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 **2.5. National Technical University (UTN)**  
48  
49

50 Is the most recently created public university and due to its small student population and its specialization  
51 in technical careers is the one obtaining the lowest percentage of the FEES. The SIESUE reported this  
52 university obtained \$55 million from the FEES. Considering additional government funding and income  
53 from its own activities (among which the agricultural activities stand out) it managed a total budget of  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 \$70 million. From that budget, a total of 0.216 million were destined to the Research and Transfer  
5  
6 Program with some research projects in different areas of interest.  
7  
8

## 9 10 **2.6. Self-funding projects**

11  
12  
13 A very interesting scheme for the financing of research projects within the universities, is the case of  
14  
15 those projects which include the sale of services through foundations that partner with the university, e.g.  
16  
17 the Fundación Tecnológica (FUNDATEC) with the TEC.  
18

19  
20 In those cases, the funds are used for the development of specific projects required by the industries, and  
21  
22 imply –among other– the acquisition of equipment, which after the end of the industry related project  
23  
24 can be used within the university for other Research Activities. No data was found to quantify the impact  
25  
26 of this modality of research financing.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

## 32 33 **3. Government grants**

34  
35  
36  
37 Great part of the research generated in this country comes from the higher education institutions, being  
38  
39 those same institutions the main research funding source. However, there are some external financing  
40  
41 sources specially, other government entities interested in the research, innovation and development such  
42  
43 as CeNAT, CONICIT, CONARE and MICITT.  
44  
45  
46  
47

### 48 **3.1. Ministry of Science, Technology and Telecommunications (MICITT)**

49  
50  
51 This institution provides some grants under Law 7169 which promotes the scientific and technological  
52  
53 development of the country (MICITT, 2011). It also has programs as the Innovation and Human Capital  
54  
55 for the Competitiveness.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1  
2  
3  
4 The Incentives Fund of the MICITT is the call for funds to finance research projects, foreign postgraduate  
5  
6 studies, bringing of experts and scientific events (MICITT, 2018). This fund grants resources to the  
7  
8 proposals under the frame of the achievement of objectives and scientific-technological policies stated  
9  
10 in the National Science and Technology Plan.  
11  
12

13  
14 The research proposals are valuated according to:

- 15  
16 1. Quality of the proposal.
- 17  
18 2. Research team.
- 19  
20 3. Pertinence.
- 21  
22 4. Impact.

23  
24  
25  
26 The funds are approved depending on the available resources for each call for projects. Some of the cases  
27  
28 are competitive rounds and in other cases, the resources are assigned as the requests arrives, as long as  
29  
30 they comply with the corresponding requirements.  
31  
32

### 33 34 ***3.2. National Council for Scientific and Technological Research (CONICIT)***

35  
36  
37  
38 The CONICIT is a government institution which works conjunctly with the MICITT financing projects  
39  
40 through the incentives fund, it also handles the researcher reinsertion program. This program aims to  
41  
42 include researchers with a high degree of education to research activities in the country.  
43  
44

45  
46 The proposals taking part in the researcher reinsertion program contest are evaluated in the following  
47  
48 aspects:

- 49  
50 1. Candidate.
  - 51  
52 2. Pertinence.
  - 53  
54 3. Effectiveness.
  - 55  
56 4. Impact.
- 57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 In 2017, there was an amount of \$182,000 to allocate. The objective is to distribute the fund to at least  
5  
6 four different projects and ideally between different academic areas.  
7

8  
9 There are two different ways to fund the projects, namely: finance a complement to the compensation or  
10  
11 professional services payment of the main researcher for a period during the term of the project, or, pay  
12  
13 for all the necessary expenses for the project execution except the payment to the main researcher. In  
14  
15 both cases, the maximum grant amount is of \$45,500.  
16  
17

### 18 19 **3.3. High-Technology National Center (CeNAT)** 20

21  
22  
23 The CeNAT is an organ of the National Rectors Council (CONARE) that specializes in the development  
24  
25 of research projects between the different public universities. It also possesses some laboratories like the  
26  
27 National Nanotechnology Laboratory, the CENIBIOT, the Advanced Computing National Collaboratory  
28  
29 and the PRIAS Laboratory; it also has links with international research centers.  
30  
31

32  
33 As part of this program, there are the CeNAT-CONARE grants, a fund created to finance research works  
34  
35 from de universities integrating the National Council of Rectors. In order to participate for these grants,  
36  
37 projects must belong to one of the following areas:  
38

- 39  
40 1. Geomatics.
- 41  
42 2. Biotechnology.
- 43  
44 3. Nanotechnology.
- 45  
46 4. New materials.
- 47  
48 5. Advanced computing.
- 49  
50 6. Environmental management.  
51  
52

53  
54  
55 Items 4, 5 and 6 can be directly related to the field or renewable energies. These grants provide with up  
56  
57 to \$505 per month up to ten months for general expenses, laboratory materials and supervision.  
58

59  
60 Moreover, the grantees, are able to utilize the CeNAT laboratories facilities and interact with other  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 projects and high-end professionals. According to (Centro Nacional de Alta Tecnología, 2017), by  
5  
6 September of 2017 there were 18 active projects and 114 projects in general.  
7  
8

### 9 **3.4. CONARE**

10  
11  
12 This CONARE calls for projects to distribute the resources from the Funds of the System, a FEES  
13  
14 derivate fund. In order to apply for these funds, it is necessary to have workers of at least two CONARE  
15  
16 universities during the formulation of the program.  
17  
18

19  
20 Proposals must attend to some of the following priority areas:  
21  
22

- 23 1. Mother Earth (Climate Change and related).
- 24 2. Education.
- 25 3. Health.
- 26 4. Socio economy and culture.
- 27 5. Emerging technologies.
- 28 6. Food security.
- 29 7. Vulnerable population.

30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 Renewable energies topic is associated with item 1 and can be linked to item 5 as well.

41  
42  
43 Proposals presented for those funds, must have a trans-disciplinary approach, having links with the  
44  
45 productive sectors and include students, researchers in training and experienced researchers.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

## 54 **4. Other funding sources**

55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4 It is important to mention a few industry cases, as well as international level funds for research. Such as:  
5  
6 JAPDEVA, The Tenure Facility, GIF, and others. Described as follows.  
7  
8  
9

10 ***4.1. Port Administration and Economical Development of the Atlantic Slope Council of Costa Rica***  
11  
12 ***(JAPDEVA)***  
13  
14

15  
16 In accordance with (Hernández, 2018), JAPDEVA offers financing sources the Development  
17  
18 Management agency to promote development, technical assistance and roads management. In this frame,  
19  
20 named Community Help, is possible to formulate projects to eventually be validated by this entity.  
21

22  
23 The contribution must be directed towards vulnerable sectors or indigenous communities, where research  
24  
25 in the field of renewable energies can help significantly improve their quality of life.  
26

27  
28 Currently there is not a scheme or specific paperwork to obtain financing through this institution. Which,  
29  
30 is important to emphasize, is just an example of a possible financing source for research projects. There  
31  
32 are many other private or autonomous actors able to finance projects, but each case is specific and there  
33  
34 are no regular calls for projects.  
35  
36

37  
38  
39 ***4.2. The Tenure Facility***  
40  
41

42 The Tenure Facility (The Tenure Facility, 2018) is the first and only international institution interested  
43  
44 exclusively in securing land and forest rights for Indigenous Peoples and local communities.  
45

46  
47 It provides subsidies to implement tenure reform within existing governmental and international  
48  
49 structures and shares the knowledge, innovations and tools that emerge. Its main objective is for  
50  
51 indigenous and local communities to prosper and expand the management and sustainable protection of  
52  
53 their forests and lands throughout the world, for their own well-being and that of the world society. Some  
54  
55 of their main areas of interest are:  
56  
57

- 58  
59 1. Eradication of poverty.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

2. Economic development.
3. Food safety.
4. Mitigation of climate change.
5. Conservation.
6. Gender justice.

Within the area of mitigation of climate change and in combination with the objective of developing research on renewable energy projects in indigenous areas, it could eventually be possible to take advantage of this institution to finance projects in the field of renewable energies, with a very specific target audience in this case.

**4.3. The Global Innovation Fund (GIF)**

GIF invests in social projects that improve the lives and opportunities of millions of people around the world. It especially finances innovative solutions to the challenges of sustainable development (Global Innovation Fund, 2018).

This is a non-profit fund based in London. It has the support of the Department of International Development of the United Kingdom, the United States Agency for International Development, the Omidyar Network, the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency and the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade of Australia.

Considering that they define themselves as an investment fund, aimed at improving the lives of the poorest people in developing countries. It is possible to obtain financing from them to develop research projects, again with a very specific target audience. Research projects in the field of renewable energies, whenever they have a positive impact and provide development opportunities to the people in greatest need.

**4.4. Other international calls**

1  
2  
3  
4 Some international calls that are worth mentioning are the following:  
5

- 6 1. EURAXESS CELAC (Grawitz, 2017).
- 7
- 8 2. Innovation Competition of Banco Santander (The Innovation Fund, 2018).
- 9
- 10 3. Horizon 2020 (European Commission, 2018).
- 11
- 12 4. Green Talents (Green Talents, 2018).
- 13
- 14 5. UNESCO Projects (Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica, 2018).
- 15

16 Additionally, foundations such as NSF (National Science Foundation, 2018) finance research in most  
17  
18 fields of engineering, receiving more than 40,000 proposals annually and financing around 11,000.  
19

20  
21 On the other hand, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Worship (Ministerio de Relaciones Exteriores y  
22  
23 Culto, 2018) offers through its website, an updated list of options for scholarships that include options  
24  
25 of masters and doctorate, where eventually research projects can be developed.  
26  
27  
28  
29

## 30 31 32 33 **5. Study cases and examples** 34 35 36 37

38 Given that in Costa Rica there are 99% of households with electricity, there are few cases of communities  
39  
40 without electricity in relation to the population. However, taking into account that the population of Costa  
41  
42 Rica exceeds 5 million inhabitants, it is possible to say that there are more than 50,000 people who do  
43  
44 not satisfy basic services, because electricity is one of the most essential services in the daily life of such  
45  
46 a globalized world. This is why new ways of supplying energy to communities that have this need are  
47  
48 proposed.  
49

50  
51 Most of the cases that have been analyzed are solved with solar panels. Those provide energy to places  
52  
53 having a great need for this service (such as schools) to be able to perform their tasks normally. All cases  
54  
55 presented are from very remote places, where the electric power line cannot reach easily, as for example  
56  
57 some parts of Guanacaste and indigenous territories of the zone of high Talamanca. The Table 1 shows  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

a comparison between two projects made in Costa Rica (Coopeguanacaste, 2016) and Panama (Madriz-Vargas *et al.*, 2017).

**Table 1** Two projects of rural electrification in Central America

Project	Funding	Maintenance	Observations
Coopeguanacaste rural electrification (Costa Rica)	The project was financed by Coopeguanacaste with funds from the National Self-Management Fund (FNA), a total of \$30,000 was invested.	Coopeguanacaste provides the maintenance every 2 months.	The project benefits 7 families that live in a remote area, which for 48 years did not have electricity.
"Boca de Lura" (Panama)	The project sponsored by the National Secretariat of Science, Technology and Innovation (SENACYT), the project was executed in 3 phases with a total cost of US \$ 44,820 and took around 2.5 years to start up in 2011.	Maintenance is divided into 3 parts: -The APF (Association of Parents of Family) is in charge of the non-technical part of the project. -The UTP (Technical University of Panama) of the technical part (Solar + Wind) -The Ministry of Education is responsible for the solar technical part.	Seminars were given to members of the community in which the development of new skills was observed, especially the non-technical maintenance coordinated by the APF.

An example of the direct government efforts in rural electrification, is a massive solar panel installation performed by ICE—with Inter-American Development Bank funding—, between 1998 and 2017. There were 4670 solar panels installed at 383 remote communities (Gobierno de Costa Rica, 2017). However, providing maintenance to those 4670 solar panels in remote areas, in the long term, proves to be the main challenge.

Figure 1 shows a solar panel installed in the primary school of Shinabla , a remote indigenous community located 13 km by foot from the last point accessible by car (Called Roca Quemada), with an elevation

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

gain uphill of 1000 m and very muddy path (even in the dry season, in its best condition). Only four wheel drive cars can make it to Roca Quemada and only during the dry season, and not many car drivers take the risk of doing it.



**Figure 1** Aerial view of Shinabla primary school. A solar panel is in the roof of the top left-hand side building. (Courtesy of Ivan Salazar @CusukoFotografia)

During the raining season, at least 6 km walking must be added – 900 m downhill of a muddy path, which usually is not drivable even for ATV’s. A view of the trail is shown in Figure 2. Depending on the road conditions, it may take 3 hours to travel to Turrialba, the nearest town, 50 km away. Landslides interrupt the road between Turrialba and Roca Quemada relatively frequently.





**Figure 2** Trail to Shinabla, 6 km long only by 4x4 during dry season and 13 km long only by foot or beast.

In some places, like Sitio Hilda indigenous community, located 20 km by foot from the last point accessible by car, ICE provides internet access by means of a parabolic antenna. Figure 3 shows the Sitio Hilda primary school with the parabolic antenna.



**Figure 3** Sitio Hilda primary school, during a visit by the first author of the present paper

Another punctual case of the results of ICE efforts, are 46 families in Cabagra and Salitre, two remote indigenous communities in the south of the country. They need electricity to break their otherwise complete isolation (having access to TV, and radio communications), also for their kids to study at night, but most importantly, to charge their cell phones, making them available for emergencies, venomous snake bites for instance (Monge, 2013).

An interesting case of community power product of south-south cooperation between Costa Rica and the Indian government, is the training of tree indigenous women in solar panel installation, thanks to the UNED efforts (Villalobos, 2017).

Other actors like Organization of Ibero-American States (OEI) together with the Ministry of Public Education of Costa Rica developed projects to provide electricity and technological equipment to eight

1  
2  
3  
4 schools in remote areas. “Lights for learning in Costa Rica” is the name of this project, in which \$250,000  
5  
6 have been invested (Díaz, 2018).  
7  
8  
9

## 10 11 **6. A comparison with the African situation** 12 13 14 15

16 According to experts, Africa is lagging behind on the electricity access matter in comparison with the  
17  
18 rest of the world, in particular for remote and isolated communities and settlements (Sawin, 2017). There  
19  
20 are opportunities for unelectrified people in Africa to achieve higher levels of energy and electricity  
21  
22 access at community level, using small scale solar PV and solar PV-wind technologies as proven in South  
23  
24 Africa (Brent & Rogers, 2010), (Klunne & Michael, 2010). In addition, small hydropower seems to be  
25  
26 adequate using community models as discussed for Tanzania and Kenya (Ahlborg & Sjöstedt, 2015),  
27  
28 (Yadoo, 2012). Nevertheless, biomass energy appears to be not considered seriously despite the  
29  
30 abundance of natural resources (Smillie, 2000) and other options seems to be limited to meet the  
31  
32 increasing demand of energy at house level, including small charging stations and solar kiosks which are  
33  
34 usually led with private models as observed in Sierra Leona (Munro *et al.*, 2016), (Kemeny *et al.*, 2014).  
35  
36 Community power needs to be arranged in collective of hybrid operator models, and it seems that mini-  
37  
38 grids are more likely to improve rural livelihoods in Africa, but with generators under 500 kW of installed  
39  
40 capacity using solar or wind technologies. At a global scale, community energy projects are getting more  
41  
42 attention by energy access experts because of successful community-led pilot projects in Latin America  
43  
44 and South Asia which can be replicated in sub-Saharan Africa. Such effort can be complemented with  
45  
46 help from international institutions and donor agencies avoiding top-bottom approaches. Instead, the  
47  
48 local leaders should lead the initiative according to real needs and expectations at site, hence hybrid  
49  
50 business models are critical (public, private or public-private-community partnerships) for a wider  
51  
52 adoption of community power projects in Africa and more broadly.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## 7. Discussion

In Costa Rica, medium hydro power projects have traditionally dominated the electricity production since 1950s and only recently more renewable energy sources have been integrated into the national grid, including geothermal projects since the 1980s and wind parks since the 1990s. Solar and biomass resources are rarely used and represent around 1% of total electricity production (Instituto Costarricense de Electricidad, 2017). For off-grid communities in rural areas the success factor was the adoption of rural electrification cooperatives led by local leaders with financial support from the US government since the middle 1960s as reported by academics (Barnes, 2011), (Madriz-Vargas *et al.*, 2016).

Today, rural electrification reaches almost 100% at a country level and rural coops have played a pivotal role in such achievement, in particular, by using a mix of grid extension, small hydro power plants, decentralized plants, and solar PV stand-alone programs. Costa Rica should be a case study, observed by rural communities in other developing regions such as sub the Saharan Africa, because community power expertise have proven to be effective and sustainable (Madriz-Vargas *et al.*, 2018).

However, more efforts are needed globally to really make the most out of community models as national governments, despite political discourses, tend to centralize the production of electricity and usually legal frameworks tend to discourage community power initiatives. For this, activists, local NGOs and researchers are playing a vital role in project design and execution hand in hand with locals. This collaborative scenario seems to be a common factor in successful power projects for the poorest or farthest zones of developing countries.

Focusing attention on research activities in Costa Rica, it can be affirmed that there is a strong government commitment in the financing of research projects through multiple calls. In addition, there is a wide range of funding options through foreign agencies, who are especially eager to support indigenous communities.



1  
2  
3  
4 Therefore, there are funds that allow research on technologies and alternatives to develop and execute  
5  
6 rural electrification projects in the indigenous areas of Costa Rica.  
7

8  
9 In particular, in the case of wind energy, authors such as (Sessarego & Wood, 2015) highlight the  
10  
11 possibility of using available materials in indigenous areas for the construction of turbine towers and  
12  
13 even blades, for instance in (Abrar *et al.*, 2014) the term indigenous blade is used.  
14

15  
16 In the research carried out by (Pourrajabian *et al.*, 2019) different wooden options are analyzed as  
17  
18 material for the construction of small-scale wind turbine blades. This type of turbines are an element of  
19  
20 socio-economic value in developing countries according to (Tummala *et al.*, 2016).  
21

22  
23 Then in (Karthikeyan *et al.*, 2015) it is stated that for rural areas, simple turbines with improvements in  
24  
25 aerodynamic performance for low wind potential are required. According to (Lubitz, 2014), it is possible  
26  
27 that the turbulence represents an increase in the production of energy, which agrees with (Sicot *et al.*,  
28  
29 2008) who argues that lift coefficient increases with the increase in the level of turbulence. High  
30  
31 turbulence is found in remote mountainous regions because of the broken terrain and forest cover.  
32  
33

34  
35 This type of regions is of interest to many researchers, for example (Uchida, 2018) develops highly  
36  
37 complex computational models to describe the wind resource in complex terrain. Those studies have led  
38  
39 to the development of wind turbines that produce high power output even in areas of low wind speed and  
40  
41 in complex terrains (Ohya & Karasudani, 2010). These turbines, currently being developed, would offer  
42  
43 advantages such as low noise, low risk for birds and the option of multiple rotors to enhance the use of  
44  
45 the wind resource (Ohya & Watanabe, 2019).  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

## 51 52 **8. Conclusions** 53 54 55 56

57  
58 The two main challenges to materialize community power projects with greater impact and take  
59  
60 advantage of all the opportunities for renewable energy research in remote areas of Costa Rica are:  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4 • Engage the interest and involvement of more researchers, who decide to bet on rural electrification  
5  
6 projects in indigenous areas and apply for the funding alternatives described in this work. So that it would  
7  
8 become possible to quantify in the future, within the millions of dollars invested in research, a specific  
9  
10 percentage that is being allocated to the research and development of rural electrification projects.  
11  
12
- 13 • Develop a real and stable link between researchers and community leaders, so that local people get  
14  
15 involved, from their capacities, in the management, development and implementation of rural  
16  
17 electrification projects, making them responsible of the subsequent maintenance, of course, with the  
18  
19 financial and technical support of external entities and qualified personnel. Guaranteeing sustainability  
20  
21 of the systems, at least for the time of their useful life, facilitating the gestation of new replacement  
22  
23 systems, which will, in due time, adjust to the new needs of the communities making rural electrification  
24  
25 sustainable over time.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

## 32 **References**

33  
34  
35  
36  
37

- 38 • Abrar M., Mahbub A. & Mamun M. (2014), “Design optimization of a horizontal axis micro wind  
39  
40 turbine through development of CFD model and experimentation”, *Procedia Engineering*, Vol. 90,  
41  
42 pp. 333-338.  
43  
44
- 45 • Ahlborg H. & Sjöstedt M. (2015), “Small-scale hydropower in Africa: Socio-technical designs for  
46  
47 renewable energy in Tanzanian villages”, *Energy Research & Social Science*, Vol. 5, pp. 20-33.  
48  
49
- 50 • Barnes D. (2011), “Effective solutions for rural electrification in developing countries: Lessons from  
51  
52 successful programs”, *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, Vol. 3, No 4, pp. 260-264.  
53  
54
- 55 • Brent A. & Rogers D. (2010), “Renewable rural electrification: Sustainability assessment of mini-  
56  
57 hybrid off-grid technological systems in the African context”, *Renew Energy*, Vol. 35, No. 1, pp.  
58  
59 257-265.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- Castro A. (2017), “Gobierno y CONARE firman acuerdo para el FEES 2018”, available at: <https://www.ucr.ac.cr/noticias/2017/08/30/gobierno-y-conare-firman-acuerdo-para-el-fees-2018.html> (accessed 24 October 2018).
- Castro A. (2018), “En que se invierte el FEES”, available at: <https://www.ucr.ac.cr/noticias/2018/07/26/en-que-se-invierte-el-fees.html> (accessed 01 October 2018).
- Centro Nacional de Alta Tecnología (2017), “Estadísticas 2017”, available at: <http://www.cenat.ac.cr/es/> (accessed 29 September 2018).
- Consejo Nacional de Rectores (2018), “Información financiera y presupuestaria”, available at: <http://siesue.conare.ac.cr/inf-financiera-y-presupuestaria/montos-fees.html> (accessed 20 September 2018).
- Coopeguanacaste (2016), “Abriendo Trocha”, available at: <http://www.coopeguanacaste.com/es/la-coope-informa/revista-la-coope/revista-edicion-2-2016> (accessed 27 October 2018).
- Díaz K. (2018), “Ocho escuelas rurales cuentan con electricidad mediante energía solar”, available at: <https://www.mep.go.cr/noticias/8-escuelas-rurales-cuentan-electricidad-mediante-energia-solar> (accessed 05 November 2018).
- European Commission (2018), “Horizon 2020”, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/en> (accessed 27 October 2018).
- Global Innovation Fund (2018), “Transforming ideas into impact”, available at: <https://globalinnovation.fund/> (accessed 20 October 2018).
- Gobierno de Costa Rica (2017), “ICE amplía a 4.670 la instalación de paneles solares en sitios alejados”, available at: <http://gobierno.cr/ice-amplia-a-4-670-la-instalacion-de-paneles-solares-en-sitios-alejados/> (accessed 05 November 2018).

- 1  
2  
3  
4 • Grawitz C. (2017), “EURAXESS CELAC Funding opportunities”, available at:  
5  
6 [https://euraxess.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/domains/brazil/celac\\_euraxess\\_funding\\_2017\\_octob](https://euraxess.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/domains/brazil/celac_euraxess_funding_2017_october.pdf)  
7  
8 [er.pdf](https://euraxess.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/domains/brazil/celac_euraxess_funding_2017_october.pdf) (accessed 25 October 2018).  
9  
10  
11 • Green Talents (2018), “Green Talents Competition”, available at: <https://www.greentalents.de/>  
12  
13 (accessed 27 October 2018).  
14  
15  
16 • Humayun, N. (2017), “Costa Rica has just run on 100 percent renewable energy for 300 days”,  
17  
18 available at: [http://vt.co/sci-tech/innovation/costa-rica-just-run-100-percent-renewable-energy-300-](http://vt.co/sci-tech/innovation/costa-rica-just-run-100-percent-renewable-energy-300-days/)  
19  
20 [days/](http://vt.co/sci-tech/innovation/costa-rica-just-run-100-percent-renewable-energy-300-days/) (accessed 02 June 2018).  
21  
22  
23 • Instituto Costarricense de Electricidad (2017). “Generation and Demand. Annual Report”. San Jose,  
24  
25 Costa Rica. Tech Rep.  
26  
27 • Instituto Costarricense de Electricidad (2017). “Plan de Expansión de la Generación Eléctrica 2016  
28  
29 – 2035”. San José, Costa Rica. Tech Rep.  
30  
31  
32 • Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas y Censos (2015), “Estimaciones y Proyecciones de Población”,  
33  
34 available at: <http://www.inec.go.cr/poblacion/estimaciones-y-proyecciones-de-poblacion> (accessed  
35  
36 23 July 2018).  
37  
38  
39 • Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica (2018), “Convocatoria de recepción de propuestas de proyectos  
40  
41 UNESCO bienio 2018-2019”, available at: [https://www.tec.ac.cr/convocatoria-recepcion-](https://www.tec.ac.cr/convocatoria-recepcion-propuestas-proyectos-unesco-bienio-2018-2019)  
42  
43 [propuestas-proyectos-unesco-bienio-2018-2019](https://www.tec.ac.cr/convocatoria-recepcion-propuestas-proyectos-unesco-bienio-2018-2019) (accessed 27 October 2018).  
44  
45  
46 • Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica (2018). “Disposiciones de la Convocatoria de Proyectos de  
47  
48 Investigación y Extensión 2019”. Cartago, Costa Rica. Tech Rep.  
49  
50  
51 • Interview with the communication manager of, JAPDEVA. (2018).  
52  
53  
54 • Karthikeyan N., Murugavel K., Kumar S. & Rajakumar S. (2015), “Review of aerodynamic  
55  
56 developments on small horizontal axis wind turbine blade”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy*  
57  
58 *Reviews*, Vol. 42, pp. 801-822, 2015.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



- 1  
2  
3  
4 • Kemeny P., Munro P.G., Schiavone N., Van Der Horst G. & Willans S. (2014), “Community  
5  
6 Charging Stations in rural sub-Saharan Africa: Commercial success, positive externalities, and  
7  
8 growing supply chains”, *Energy for Sustainable Development*, Vol. 23, pp. 228-236.  
9  
10  
11 • Klunne W. & Michael E. (2010), “Increasing sustainability of rural community electricity schemes-  
12  
13 case study of small hydropower in Tanzania”, *International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies*,  
14  
15 Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 144-147.  
16  
17  
18 • Lemaire X. (2011), “Off-grid electrification with solar home systems: The experience of a fee-for-  
19  
20 service concession in South Africa”, *Energy for Sustainable Development*, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 277-  
21  
22 283.  
23  
24  
25 • Lubitz W. (2014), “Impact of ambient turbulence on performance of a small wind turbine”,  
26  
27 *Renewable Energy*, Vol. 61, pp. 69-73.  
28  
29  
30  
31 • MICITT (2011), “Actividades de investigación y desarrollo”, available at:  
32  
33 <http://indicadores.micit.go.cr/dashboards/7953/inversion-en-act-e-id-2011/> (accessed 26 September  
34  
35 2018).  
36  
37  
38 • MICITT (2018), “Convocatoria Organización de eventos científicos que promueven la ciencia,  
39  
40 tecnología e innovación”, available at:  
41  
42 [https://www.micit.go.cr/images/incentivos/convocatorias\\_2018/Convocatoria\\_Organizaci%C3%B3n\\_de\\_Eventos\\_CT\\_9-04-2018.pdf](https://www.micit.go.cr/images/incentivos/convocatorias_2018/Convocatoria_Organizaci%C3%B3n_de_Eventos_CT_9-04-2018.pdf) (accessed 25 August 2018).  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 • Ministerio de Relaciones Exteriores y Culto (2018), “Becas disponibles”, available at:  
49  
50 <https://www.rree.go.cr/index.php?sec=servicios&cat=becas&cont=574> (accessed 27 October 2018).  
51  
52  
53 • Monge C. (2013), “Paneles solares rescatan a los indígenas del aislamiento”, available at:  
54  
55 <http://www.perezzeledon.net/paneles-solares-rescatan-a-indigenas-del-aislamiento/> (accessed 05  
56  
57 November 2018).  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- Munro P., Van Der Horst G, Willans S, Kemeny P, Christiansen A. & Schiavone N. (2016), “Social enterprise development and renewable energy dissemination in Africa: The experience of the community charging station model in Sierra Leone”, *Progress in Development Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 24-38.
- National Science Foundation (2018), “About Funding”, available at: <https://www.nsf.gov/funding/aboutfunding.jsp> (accessed 27 October 2018).
- Ohya Y. & Karasudani T. (2010), “A shrouded wind turbine generating high output power with wind-lens technology”, *Energies*, Vol. 3, No 4, pp. 634-649.
- Ohya Y. & Watanabe K. (2019), “A New Approach Toward Power Output Enhancement Using Multirotor Systems With Shrouded Wind Turbines”, *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, Vol. 141, No 5, p. 051203.
- Pourrajabian A., Dehghan M., Jave A. & Wood D. (2019), “Choosing an appropriate timber for a small wind turbine blade: A comparative study”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 100, pp. 1-8.
- Presidencia de la República de Costa Rica (2017), “Costa Rica supera 98% de generación renovable por segundo año consecutivo”, available at: <https://presidencia.go.cr/comunicados/2017/01/costa-rica-supera-98-de-generacion-renovable-por-segundo-ano-consecutivo/> (accessed 21 May 2018).
- Sawin J. (2017). “Renewable energy policy network for the 21st century renewables 2017 global status report”. París, France. Tech Rep.
- Sessarego M. & Wood D. (2015), “Multi-dimensional optimization of small wind turbine blades”, *Renewables: Wind, Water, and Solar*, Vol. 2, No 1, pp. 9.
- Sicot C., Devinant P., Loyer S. & Hureau J. (2008), “Rotational and turbulence effects on a wind turbine blade. Investigation of the stall mechanisms”, *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, Vol. 96, No 8-9, pp. 1320-1331.

- 1  
2  
3  
4 • Smillie I. (2000), *Mastering the Machine Revisited*. London, England: Intermediate Technology  
5  
6 Development Group, pp 137-153.  
7  
8  
9 • The Innovation Fund (2018), “100,000 strong in the Americas”, available at:  
10  
11 <http://www.100kstrongamericas.org/app-format/> (accessed 25 October 2018).  
12  
13  
14 • The Tenure Facility (2018), “Selection process and criteria”, available at:  
15  
16 <https://thetenurefacility.org/selection-criteria-projects/> (accessed 23 October 2018).  
17  
18  
19 • Tummala A., Kishore V., Kumar S., Indraja V. & Krishna V. (2016), “A review on small scale wind  
20  
21 turbines”, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 56, pp. 1351-1371.  
22  
23  
24 • Uchida T. Uchida (2018), “Numerical Investigation of Terrain-Induced Turbulence in Complex  
25  
26 Terrain by Large-Eddy Simulation (LES) Technique”, *Energies*, Vol. 11, No 10, p. 2638.  
27  
28  
29 • Universidad de Costa Rica (2015), “Comportamiento aerodinámico del perfil S809 mediante  
30  
31 técnicas de la dinámica de los fluidos computacional”, available at:  
32  
33 <https://vinv.ucr.ac.cr/sigpro/web/projects/B3225> (accessed 12 September 2018).  
34  
35  
36 • Universidad de Costa Rica (2018), “El Gobierno y el CONARE firman acuerdo para el FEES 2019”,  
37  
38 available at: [https://www.ucr.ac.cr/noticias/2018/07/13/el-gobierno-y-el-conare-firman-el-acuerdo-](https://www.ucr.ac.cr/noticias/2018/07/13/el-gobierno-y-el-conare-firman-el-acuerdo-para-el-fees-2019.html)  
39  
40 [para-el-fees-2019.html](https://www.ucr.ac.cr/noticias/2018/07/13/el-gobierno-y-el-conare-firman-el-acuerdo-para-el-fees-2019.html) (accessed 24 October 2018).  
41  
42  
43 • Vargas- Madriz R., Bruce A., Watt M Rojas & Y.A. (2016), “Energy with Development: 50-years  
44  
45 Experience of Community-driven Rural Electrification and Future Challenges for  
46  
47 COOPEGUANACASTE in Costa Rica”, in *Proceedings Asia-Pacific Solar Research Conference*;  
48  
49 Canberra, Australia.  
50  
51  
52  
53 • Vargas- Madriz R., Bruce A., Watt M., Mogollón L.G. & Álvarez, H.R. (2017), “Community  
54  
55 renewable energy in Panama: a sustainability assessment of the “Boca de Lura” PV-Wind-Battery  
56  
57 hybrid power system”, *Renewable Energy and Environmental Sustainability*, Vol. 2, pp. 18.  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- Vargas- Madriz R., Bruce A. & Watt M. (2018), “The future of Community Renewable Energy for electricity access in rural Central America”, *Energy Research & Social Science*, Vol 35, pp. 118-131.
- Villalobos M. (2017), “Mujeres indígenas aprenderán a instalar paneles solares”, available at: <https://www.crhoy.com/nacionales/mujeres-indigenas-aprenderan-a-instalar-paneles-solares/> (accessed 03 November 2018).
- Yadoo A. (2012), *Delivery Models for Decentralised Rural Electrification Case studies in Nepal, Peru and Kenya*, London, England: International Institute for Environment and Development.